arXiv:1201.3554v4 [math.PR] 16 Jul 2012

A NOTE ON THE MARCHENKO-PASTUR LAW FOR A CLASS OF RANDOM MATRICES WITH DEPENDENT ENTRIES

SEAN O'ROURKE

Abstract. We consider a class of real random matrices with dependent entries and show that the limiting empirical spectral distribution is given by the Marchenko-Pastur law. Additionally, we establish a rate of convergence of the expected empirical spectral distribution.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Suppose M_n is a $n \times n$ matrix with real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Then the empirical spectral distribution (ESD) of the matrix M_n is defined by

$$F^{M_n}(x) := \frac{\#\{1 \leq i \leq n : \lambda_i \leq x\}}{n}.$$

We will be interested in the case when $M_n := \frac{1}{n} A_n A_n^{\mathrm{T}}$ and A_n is an $n \times N$ real random matrix.

If the entries of A_n are i.i.d. random variables with zero mean and variance one, we call M_n a sample covariance matrix. There are many results concerning the limiting behavior of the spectral distribution of sample covariance matrices. For example, Marchenko and Pastur ([17]) and Wachter ([21]) prove that the ESD $F^{\frac{1}{n} A_n A_n^{\mathrm{T}}}(x)$ converges to $F_c(x)$ provided that $N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$, where F_c is the distribution function for the Marchenko-Pastur law with parameter $c > 0$. That is, F_c has density

$$p_c(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}}{2\pi x} & : a \leq x \leq b, \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and a point mass $1-c$ at the origin if $c < 1$, where $a = (1-\sqrt{c})^2$ and $b = (1+\sqrt{c})^2$. The above convergence holds with probability 1 (see for example [5] and [8, Chapter 3]).

There are a number of results in which the independence assumption (on the entries of A_n) is weakened. In the seminal paper by Marchenko and Pastur [17], one considers independent rows rather than independent entries. In [22], Yin and Krishnaiah consider the case where the independent rows have a spherically symmetric distribution.

More recently in 2006, Aubrun obtained the Marchenko-Pastur law for matrices with independent rows distributed uniformly on the l_p^n balls, [4]. This was generalized by Pajor and Pastur in [20] to matrices with independent rows distributed according to an arbitrary isotropic log-concave measure.

In [13] and [15], Götze and Tikhomirov study two classes of random matrices which generalize Wigner random matrices and sample covariance random matrices. In particular, these matrices satisfy certain martingale-type conditions without any

assumption on the independence of the entries. In a similar setting, Adamczak studied a class of random matrices with uncorrelated entries in which each normalized row and normalized column converges to one in probability, [1].

Other random matrix ensembles with dependent entries that have been studied include random Markov matrices with independent rows and doubly stochastic random matrices (see [10, 9, 11] and references contained therein).

In this note, we study a class of random matrices with dependent entries and show that the limiting empirical distribution of the eigenvalues is given by the Marchenko-Pastur law. In particular, we consider a sequence of $n \times N$ random matrices A_n with the following properties.

Definition 1 (Condition **C0**)**.** Let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of $n \times N$ real random matrices where $N = N(n)$ and $c_n := N/n$. We let $r_1^{(n)}, \dots, r_n^{(n)}$ denote the rows of $A_n = (\zeta_{ij}^{(n)})_{1\leq i\leq n, 1\leq j\leq N}$ and define the σ-algebra associated to row k as

$$\mathcal{F}_k^{(n)} := \sigma(r_1^{(n)}, \dots, r_{k-1}^{(n)}, r_{k+1}^{(n)}, \dots, r_n^{(n)})$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\mathbb{E}_k[\cdot]$ denote the conditional expectation with respect to the σ-algebra associated to row k. We then say that the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ obeys condition **C0** if the following hold:

(i) $\mathbb{E}_k[\zeta_{ki}^{(n)}] = 0$ for all i, k, n

(ii) One has

$$q_n := \sup_k \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_k[(\zeta_{ki}^{(n)})^2] - 1| = o(1)$$

(iii) One has

$$\sup_{k,i\neq j} |\mathbb{E}_k[\zeta_{ki}^{(n)}\zeta_{kj}^{(n)}]| + \sup_{k,i,j\neq l} |\mathbb{E}_k[(\zeta_{ki}^{(n)})^2\zeta_{kj}^{(n)}\zeta_{kl}^{(n)}]| = O(n^{-1/2}\gamma_n)$$

a.s., where $\gamma_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

(iv) $\sup |\mathbb{E}_k[\zeta_{ki}^{(n)}\zeta_{kj}^{(n)}\zeta_{kl}^{(n)}\zeta_{km}^{(n)}]| = O(n^{-1}\gamma_n)$ a.s where the supremum is over all k and all i, j, l, m distinct.

(v) $\sup_{n,i,j} \mathbb{E}|\zeta_{ij}^{(n)}|^4 \leq M < \infty$

(vi) One has

$$\rho_n := \sup_k \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq N} \mathbb{E}|\mathbb{E}_k[(\zeta_{ki}^{(n)})^2(\zeta_{kj}^{(n)})^2] - 1| = o(1).$$

(vii) There exists a non-negative integer sequence $\beta_n = o(\sqrt{n})$ such that $\sigma(r_{i_1}^{(n)}, \dots, r_{i_k}^{(n)})$ and $\sigma(r_{j_1}^{(n)}, \dots, r_{j_m}^{(n)})$ are independent σ-algebras whenever

$$\min_{1\leq l\leq k, 1\leq p\leq m} |i_l - j_p| > \beta_n.$$

Remark 2. Condition (i) implies that entries from different rows are uncorrelated while (iii) and (iv) allow for a weak correlation amongst entries in the same row. Condition (ii) is a requirement on the variance of the entries and (v) is a moment assumption on the entries. Condition (vi) is of a technical nature. In particular,

(vi) (along with (ii)) allows one to control terms of the form

$$\sup_k \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}|r_k^{(n)}|^2\right)$$

where $|r_k^{(n)}|$ is the Euclidian norm of the vector $r_k^{(n)}$. In words, condition (vii) implies that rows, which are "far enough apart," are independent.

Example 3. Let ξ be a real random variable with mean zero, variance one, and $\mathbb{E}|\xi^4| < \infty$. Let A_n be an $n \times N$ matrix where each entry is an i.i.d. copy of ξ. If $N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$, then A_n satisfies Definition 1. All the results in this paper are already known for such matrices with i.i.d. entries. See for example [17], [8, Chapter 3], [5], and references contained therein.

Example 4. Let A_n be a $n \times (2n)$ matrix where the rows are i.i.d. random vectors such that the entries of $r_k^{(n)}$ are ± 1 symmetric Bernoulli random variables chosen uniformly such that the sum of the entries of each row is zero. Then the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ obeys condition **C0**. Indeed, one can compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ij}^{(n)}] &= 0,\\ \mathrm{Var}[\zeta_{ki}^{(n)}] &= 1,\\ \mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}^{(n)}\zeta_{kj}^{(n)}] &= -\frac{1}{2N-1} \text{ for } i \neq j,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}^{(n)}\zeta_{kj}^{(n)}\zeta_{kl}^{(n)}\zeta_{km}^{(n)}] = \frac{12N^2 - 12N}{2N(2N-1)(2N-2)(2N-3)} = O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)$$

for i, j, l, m distinct, where $N = 2n$. In particular, one finds that $\gamma_n = n^{-1/2}$ and $q_n, \rho_n, \beta_n = 0$.

Let us mention that the conditions in Definition 1 are similar to the assumptions of Theorem 1 in [17]. However, in [17], the authors require the rows of A_n to be independent.

Also, the sequence of random matrices defined in Example 4 satisfies condition **C0**, but does not satisfy the assumptions of the theorems provided in [4], [20], [13], or [1].

Let $\|M\|$ denote the spectral norm of the matrix M. In this paper, we shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem 5. *Let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of real random matrices that obey condition* **C0** *and assume $c_n := N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\|\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c\| := \sup_x |\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c| \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, if there exists $p > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_n + 1)^p}{n^{p/2}} < \infty \tag{1}$$

then

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c\| \longrightarrow 0$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 6. *Let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of real random matrices that obey condition* **C0** *and assume $c_n := N/n \geq 1$ such that $c_n \to c \in [1,\infty)$. Additionally assume that*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\|A_n A_n^{\mathrm{T}}\| < \infty. \tag{2}$$

Then we obtain that

$$\|\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_{c_n}\| = O\left(\max\left(q_n^{1/22}, \gamma_n^{1/22}, \rho_n^{1/22}, \left(\frac{(\beta_n+1)^2}{n}\right)^{1/22}\right)\right).$$

Remark 7. We stated Theorem 5 for a sequence of random matrices that obey condition **C0**. However, it is actually possible to prove the convergence of the expected ESD without condition (vii) from Definition 1. That is, if the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies conditions (i) - (vi) from Definition 1 with $c_n \to c \in (0,\infty)$, then

$$\|\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c\| \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. The proof of this statement repeats the proof of Theorem 5 almost exactly. We detail the necessary changes in Remark 14. It should be noted that the almost sure convergence portion of Theorem 5 still requires condition (vii) from Definition 1 and (1).

Remark 8. Without any additional information on the convergence rate of c_n to c, we cannot obtain a rate of convergence of $\|\mathbb{E}F^{A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c\|$. This is why F_{c_n} appears in Theorem 6.

Remark 9. The rates obtained in Theorem 6 are not optimal and are obtained as a simple corollary to Lemma 13 below.

Example 10. Let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence of random matrices defined in Example 4. Theorem 5 implies that

$$\|F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_2\| \longrightarrow 0$$

almost surely as $n \to \infty$. We will now use Theorem 6 to obtain a rate of convergence for $\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}}$. We must verify that (2) holds. By [2, Theorem 3.13] [1], there exists $C, C' > 0$ such that for any $0 < \epsilon < 1/3$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}\| \geq Cn\right) \leq C'\frac{\log n}{n^{1+\epsilon}}.$$

Since we always have the bound

$$\|A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}\| \leq \mathrm{Tr}(A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}) = n^2,$$

it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\|A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}\| = O(n).$$

[1] One technical assumption required by Theorem 3.13 is control over the ψ_1-norm ($\|\cdot\|_{\psi_1}$) of the term $|\langle \xi, y\rangle|$ where ξ is a row of the matrix A_n and y is an arbitrary unit vector. In particular, one can show that

$$\|\langle \xi, y\rangle\|_{\psi_1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log n^{1+\epsilon}}.$$

The bound follows by applying Markov's inequality, which yields

$$\mathbb{P}(|\langle \xi, y\rangle| > t) = O(t^{-4}),$$

and then taking $t = n^{1/3}$.

Therefore, Theorem 6 gives the rate of convergence

$$\|\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_2\| = O(n^{-1/44}).$$

2. Stieltjes Transform

If $G(x)$ is a function of bounded variation on the real line, then its Stieltjes transform is defined by

$$S_G(z) = \int \frac{1}{x-z} dG(x)$$

for $z \in D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$.

Let $m_c(z)$ be the Stieltjes transform of F_c, the distribution function of the Marchenko-Pastur law with parameter c. One can then check (see for example [8]), that

$$m_c(z) = \frac{-(z+1-c) + \sqrt{(z+1-c)^2 - 4z}}{2z}.$$

Furthermore, $m_c(z)$ can be characterized uniquely as the solution to

$$m_c(z) = \frac{1}{c-1-z-zm_c(z)} \tag{3}$$

that satisfies $\mathrm{Im}(zm_c(z)) \geq 0$ for all z with $\mathrm{Im}\, z > 0$.

We will study the Stieltjes transform of the ESD of the random matrix $\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}$ in order to prove Theorems 5 and 6. In particular, the following lemma states that it suffices to show the convergence of the Stieltjes transform of the ESD to the Stieltjes transform of F_c.

Lemma 11 ([8, Theorem B.9])**.** *Assume that $\{G_n\}$ is a sequence of functions of bounded variation and $G_n(-\infty) = 0$ for all n. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} s_{G_n}(z) = s(z) \quad \forall z \in D$$

if and only if there is a function of bounded variation G with $G(-\infty) = 0$ and Stieltjes transform $s(z)$ and such that $G_n \to G$ vaguely.

We will also use the following lemma in order to establish the rate of convergence in Theorem 6.

Lemma 12 ([8, Theorem B.14])**.** *Let F be a distribution function and let G be a function of bounded variation satisfying $\int |F(x) - G(x)|dx < \infty$. Denote their Stieltjes transforms by $s_F(z)$ and $s_G(z)$ respectively, where $z = u + iv \in D$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|F - G\| \leq \frac{1}{\pi(1-\xi)(2\rho-1)} \Bigg(& \int_{-A}^{A} |s_F(z) - s_G(z)|du \\ & + 2\pi v^{-1} \int_{|x|>B} |F(x) - G(x)|dx \\ & + v^{-1} \sup_x \int_{|u|\leq 2va} |G(x+u) - G(x)|du \Bigg),\end{aligned}$$

where the constants $A > B > 0$, ξ, and a are restricted by $\rho = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{|u|\leq a} \frac{1}{u^2+1}du > \frac{1}{2}$, and $\xi = \frac{4B}{\pi(A-B)(2\rho-1)} \in (0,1)$.

3. Proof of Theorems 5 and 6

Let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of real random matrices that obeys condition **C0** and assume $c_n := N/n \to c \in (0,\infty)$. We begin by introducing some notation. Let

$$s_n(z) := \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}} - zI_n\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}} - z\right)^{-1}$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of order n and $z = u + iv$. Fix $\alpha > 0$ and let

$$D_{\alpha,n} := \{z = u + iv \in \mathbb{C} : |u| \leq \alpha, v_n \leq v \leq 1\}$$

where v_n is a sequence we will choose later such that $0 < v_n < 1$ for all n. We will eventually allow the sequence v_n to approach zero as n tends to infinity.

We will use the following lemma to prove Theorems 5 and 6.

Lemma 13. *Suppose $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of real random matrices that obey condition **C0** and assume $c_n := N/n \to c \in (0,\infty)$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$*

$$\sup_{z\in D_{\alpha,n}}\left|\mathbb{E}s_n(z) - \frac{1}{c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)}\right| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{q_n}+\sqrt{\gamma_n}+\sqrt{\rho_n}}{v_n^3} + \frac{\beta_n+1}{\sqrt{n}v_n^3}\right).$$

We prove Lemma 13 in Section 4. For the moment, assume this lemma. First, take $v_n > 0$ to be fixed. Then $D_{\alpha,n}$ does not change with n. Since $c_n \to c$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}s_n(z) = \frac{1}{c - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)} + o(1)$$

for all $z \in D_{\alpha,n}$. Fix $z_0 = u_0 + iv_0 \in D_{\alpha,n}$. Since $|\mathbb{E}s_n(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{v_0}$, one can use a compactness argument to obtain a convergent subsequence $\mathbb{E}s_{n_k}(z_0) \to s(z_0)$. Then $s(z_0)$ must satisfy the equation

$$s(z_0) = \frac{1}{c - 1 - z_0 - z_0 s(z_0)}.$$

Also, since the eigenvalues of $A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}$ are non-negative, $\mathrm{Im}(z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)) \geq 0$ for all $\mathrm{Im}(z) > 0$ and hence $\mathrm{Im}(z_0 s(z_0)) \geq 0$. Thus, by the characterization (3), it follows that $s(z_0) = m_c(z_0)$. Since every convergent subsequence of $\{\mathbb{E}s_n(z_0)\}$ must converge to the same limit, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}s_n(z_0) = m_c(z_0)$$

and since $z_0 \in D_{\alpha,n}$ was arbitrary, one obtains

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}s_n(z) = m_c(z) \tag{4}$$

for all $z \in D_{\alpha,n}$. Finally, since $|\mathbb{E}s_n(z)| \leq \frac{1}{v}$, Vitali's Convergence Theorem implies that (4) holds for all $z \in D$. Therefore,

$$\|\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c\| := \sup_x |\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c| \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

To obtain the almost sure convergence in Theorem 5, one repeats the argument above and then applies the Borel-Cantelli lemma, since

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|s_n(z) - \mathbb{E}s_n(z)| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{C_p(\beta_n+1)^p}{n^{p/2}v_n^p\epsilon^p}$$

by Lemma 15 from Appendix A.

To prove Theorem 6, we will apply Lemma 12. Under assumption (2), there exists $B > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}}(x) - F_{c_n}(x) = 0$$

for all $|x| > B$ and n sufficiently large.

By [8, Lemma 8.15], it follows that

$$\sup_x \int_{|u|<v_n} |F_{c_n}(x+u) - F_{c_n}(x)| = O\left(v_n^{3/2}\right)$$

for $c_n \geq 1$.

From Lemma 13, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}s_n(z) = \frac{1}{c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)} + \delta_n$$

for all $z \in D_{\alpha,n}$. Thus

$$z(\mathbb{E}s_n(z))^2 + \mathbb{E}s_n(z)(z + 1 - c_n) + 1 = \delta_n(1 - c_n - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)).$$

By subtracting the quadratic equation for $m_{c_n}(z)$ obtained from (3), one finds that

$$|\mathbb{E}s_n(z) - m_{c_n}(z)| = \frac{|\delta_n||1 - c_n + z + z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)|}{|z\mathbb{E}s_n(z) + zm_{c_n}(z) + z + 1 - c_n|} = O\left(\frac{\delta_n}{v_n^2}\right).$$

Therefore, from Lemma 12, one obtains that

$$\|\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_{c_n}\| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{q_n} + \sqrt{\gamma_n} + \sqrt{\rho_n}}{v_n^5} + \frac{\beta_n + 1}{\sqrt{n}v_n^5} + \sqrt{v_n}\right)$$

and hence we can take

$$v_n = \max\left(q_n^{1/11}, \gamma_n^{1/11}, \rho_n^{1/11}, \left(\frac{(\beta_n+1)^2}{n}\right)^{1/11}\right).$$

The proof of Theorem 6 is complete.

It only remains to prove Lemma 13.

4. Proof of Lemma 13

Let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of real random matrices that obey condition **C0** and assume $c_n := N/n \to c \in (0, \infty)$. Fix $\alpha > 0$. In order to simplify notation, we drop the superscript (n) and write ζ_{ij} and r_k for the entries of A_n and the rows of A_n respectively. We define the resolvent

$$R_n(z) := \left(\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}} - z\right)^{-1}.$$

Using the Schur complement, we obtain that

$$(R_n(z))_{kk} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}|r_k|^2 - z - \frac{1}{n}r_kA_{n,k}^{\mathrm{T}}R_{n,k}(z)A_{n,k}r_k^{\mathrm{T}}} =: \frac{1}{a_k}$$

where $A_{n,k}$ is obtained from the matrix A_n by removing the k-th row and

$$R_{n,k} = \left(\frac{1}{n}A_{n,k}A_{n,k}^{\mathrm{T}} - z\right)^{-1}.$$

Since $|(R_n(z))_{kk}| \leq \|R_n(z)\| \leq \frac{1}{v_n}$, we obtain that $|a_k| \geq v_n$. Thus,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}s_n(z) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}a_k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{nv_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}|a_k - \mathbb{E}a_k|. \tag{5}$$

We now compute the expectation of a_k. By condition (ii) in Definition 1, we have that

$$\sup_k \left| \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}|r_k|^2 - c_n \right| \leq q_n.$$

For convenience, write

$$B_{n,k} := A_{n,k}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{n,k}(z) A_{n,k}.$$

We first note that $\sup_k \|B_{n,k}\| = O(v_n^{-1})$. Indeed, since $|z|^2 \leq \alpha^2 + 1 = O(1)$,

$$\|B_{n,k}\| = \|R_{n,k}(z)A_{n,k}A_{n,k}^{\mathrm{T}}\| = \|I_{n-1} + zR_{n,k}(z)\| \leq 1 + \frac{|z|}{v_n}$$

for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Then we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n} r_k B_{n,k} r_k^{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_k[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}](B_{n,k})_{ij}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k} + \epsilon_{n,k} + O\left(\frac{q_n}{v_n}\right) \tag{6}$$

uniformly for all k (by condition (ii) in Definition 1) where

$$\epsilon_{n,k} := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_k[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}](B_{n,k})_{ij}\right].$$

By condition (iii), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon_{n,k}| &\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\frac{\gamma_n^2}{n^3} \sum_{i,j,s,t=1}^{N} |(B_{n,k})_{ij}||(B_{n,k})_{s,t}| \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\frac{2\gamma_n^2}{n}\mathrm{Tr}(B_{n,k}B_{n,k}^*) \right)^{1/2} = O\left(v_n^{-1}\gamma_n\right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in k.

Combining the above yields,

$$\sup_k \left| \mathbb{E}a_k - \left(c_n - z - \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k} \right) \right| = O\left(\frac{q_n + \gamma_n}{v_n}\right) \tag{7}$$

We now note that $\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k} = \frac{n-1}{n} + z\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_{n,k}(z)$. By equation (3.11) in [7] (or alternatively, by Cauchy's Interlacing Theorem), one finds that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_{n,k}(z) - \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z) \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{nv_n}\right) \tag{8}$$

uniformly in k. Therefore, from (7) and the fact that $\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z) = s_n(z)$, we obtain that

$$\sup_k |\mathbb{E}a_k - (c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z))| = O\left(\frac{q_n + \gamma_n}{v_n} + \frac{1}{nv_n}\right) \tag{9}$$

We now turn our attention to obtaining a bound for $\mathbb{E}|a_k - \mathbb{E}a_k|$. First we note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left| \frac{1}{n}|r_k|^2 - c_n \right| \leq q_n$$

by condition (ii) of Definition 1. Using (6) and the bounds obtained above for $\epsilon_{n,k}$, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{n}r_k B_{n,k} r_k^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k}\right|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i,j,s,t=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}\zeta_{ks}\zeta_{kt}(B_{n,k})_{ij}(B_{n,k})_{st}] - \left(\mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{q_n+\gamma_n}{v_n^2}\right).$$

For the sum

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i,j,s,t=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}\zeta_{ks}\zeta_{kt}(B_{n,k})_{ij}(B_{n,k})_{st}].$$

we consider several cases:

(a) When we sum over all i,j,s,t distinct, one finds

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}\zeta_{ks}\zeta_{kt}(B_{n,k})_{ij}(B_{n,k})_{st}] = O\left(\frac{\gamma_n}{v_n^2}\right)$$

by condition (iv).

(b) When we sum of all $i=j, s=t$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}\zeta_{ks}\zeta_{kt}(B_{n,k})_{ij}(B_{n,k})_{st}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k}\right)^2\right] + O\left(\frac{\rho_n}{v_n^2}\right)$$

by condition (vi).

(c) When we sum over all $i=s, j=t$ (or $i=t, j=s$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}\zeta_{ks}\zeta_{kt}(B_{n,k})_{ij}(B_{n,k})_{st}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n^2}\mathrm{Tr}(B_{n,k}B_{n,k}^*)\right] + O\left(\frac{\rho_n}{v_n^2}\right)\\ &= O\left(\frac{\rho_n}{v_n^2}+\frac{1}{nv_n^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

by condition (vi).

(d) When $i=s, j\neq t$ (or $i=t, j\neq s$), one finds that

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}\zeta_{ks}\zeta_{kt}(B_{n,k})_{ij}(B_{n,k})_{st}] = O\left(\frac{\gamma_n}{\sqrt{n}v_n^2}\right)$$

by condition (iii).

(e) When $i=j, s\neq t$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n^2}\sum\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{ki}\zeta_{kj}\zeta_{ks}\zeta_{kt}(B_{n,k})_{ij}(B_{n,k})_{st}] &\leq \frac{\gamma_n}{n^{3/2}v_n}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{s,t}|(B_{n,k})_{st}|\right)\\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_n}{n^{3/2}v_n}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{s,t,l,m}|(B_{n,k})_{st}||(B_{n,k})_{lm}|\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_n}{n^{3/2}v_n}n\mathbb{E}\left(2\mathrm{Tr}(B_{n,k}B_{n,k}^*)\right)^{1/2} = O\left(\frac{\gamma_n}{v_n^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz and condition (iii).

Therefore, we obtain that

(10)
$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{n}r_k B_{n,k} r_k^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k}\right|^2 = \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k}\right) + O\left(\frac{q_n+\gamma_n+\rho_n}{v_n^2} + \frac{1}{nv_n^2}\right).$$

The bound in (10) holds uniformly in k since the bounds in conditions (iii), (iv), and (vi) of Definition 1 hold uniformly in k.

By Lemma 15 in Appendix A, we have that

$$\sup_k \mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k}\right) = O\left(\frac{(\beta_n+1)^2}{nv_n^2}\right)$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{n}r_k B_{n,k} r_k^{\mathrm{T}} - \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}B_{n,k}\right|^2 = O\left(\frac{q_n+\gamma_n+\rho_n}{v_n^2} + \frac{(\beta_n+1)^2}{nv_n^2}\right). \tag{11}$$

Therefore

$$\sup_k \mathbb{E}|a_k - \mathbb{E}a_k| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{q_n}+\sqrt{\gamma_n}+\sqrt{\rho_n}}{v_n} + \frac{\beta_n+1}{\sqrt{n}v_n}\right). \tag{12}$$

One can also observe that $\mathrm{Im}(zs_n(z)) \geq 0$ for all z with $\mathrm{Im}\, z > 0$, since the eigenvalues of $A_n A_n^{\mathrm{T}}$ are non-negative. Combining this fact with equations (5) and (7) and the estimates above, we obtain that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}s_n(z) - \frac{1}{1-c_n-z-zs_n(z)}\right| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{q_n}+\sqrt{\gamma_n}+\sqrt{\rho_n}}{v_n^3} + \frac{\beta_n+1}{\sqrt{n}v_n^3}\right)$$

where the bound holds uniformly for $z \in D_{\alpha,n}$. The proof of Lemma 13 is complete.

Remark 14. As noted in Remark 7, it is possible to show that if the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies conditions (i) - (vi) from Definition 1 with $c_n \to c \in (0,\infty)$, then

$$\|\mathbb{E}F^{\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}}} - F_c\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{13}$$

as $n \to \infty$. The proof of the above statement repeats the proof of Theorem 5 almost exactly; we now detail the necessary changes.

Since the Stieltjes transform is an analytic and bounded function, it suffices to prove the convergence of $\mathbb{E}s_n(z)$ to $m_c(z)$ for all z in a compact set in the upper-half plane with $\mathrm{Im}(z) \geq \kappa$ for a sufficiently large constant κ to be chosen later.

A careful reading of the proof of Lemma 13 reveals that condition (vii) from Definition 1 is only used to invoke Lemma 15 and obtain the variance bound (16). Thus, in order to prove (13), it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z)\right) = o(1) \tag{14}$$

for all z in a compact set in the upper-half plane with $\mathrm{Im}(z) \geq \kappa$.

We decompose

$$\begin{aligned}(R_n(z))_{kk} &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}|r_k|^2 - z - \frac{1}{n}r_k A_{n,k}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{n,k}(z) A_{n,k} r_k^{\mathrm{T}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z) - \epsilon_k}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\epsilon_k = c_n - 1 - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z) - \frac{1}{n}|r_k|^2 + \frac{1}{n}r_k A_{n,k}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{n,k}(z) A_{n,k} r_k^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(R_n(z))_{kk} &= \frac{1}{c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon_k}{(c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z))((c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z) - \epsilon_k)} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_n - 1 - z - z\mathbb{E}s_n(z)}[1 + (R_n(z))_{kk}\epsilon_k].\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\mathrm{Im}(z) \geq \kappa$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z)\right) \leq \frac{C}{\kappa^4 n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}|\epsilon_k|^2$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. Using condition (vi) from Definition 1, (8), and (10), we bound $\mathbb{E}|\epsilon_k|^2$ and obtain

$$\mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z)\right) \leq \frac{C|z|^2}{\kappa^4}\mathrm{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z)\right) + O\left(q_n + \gamma_n + \rho_n + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Taking z in a compact set for which $|z|^2/\kappa^4$ is sufficiently small verifies (14).

Appendix A. Estimate of Variance of Stieltjes Transform

Lemma 15. *Let A_n be an $n \times N$ real random matrix with rows $r_1^{(n)}, \ldots, r_n^{(n)}$ that satisfy condition* (vii) *from Definition 1. Then for every $p > 1$ there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ (depending only on p) such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}} - zI_n\right)^{-1} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}} - zI_n\right)^{-1}\right|^p \leq \frac{C_p(\beta_n+1)^p}{n^{p/2}|\operatorname{Im} z|^p} \tag{15}$$

for any z with $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$. In particular, there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}} - zI_n\right)^{-1}\right] \leq \frac{C(\beta_n+1)^2}{n|\operatorname{Im} z|^2} \tag{16}$$

for any z with $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$.

Proof. Since (15) implies (16) when $p = 2$, it suffices to prove (15) for arbitrary $p > 1$. Let $\mathbb{E}_{\leq k}$ denote the conditional expectation with respect to the σ-algebra generated by $r_1^{(n)}, \ldots, r_k^{(n)}$. Define

$$R_n(z) := \left(\frac{1}{n}A_nA_n^{\mathrm{T}} - zI_n\right)^{-1}$$

and

$$Y_k := \mathbb{E}_{\leq k}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Then $\{Y_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is a martingale since $\mathbb{E}_{\leq k}Y_{k+1} = Y_k$. Define the martingale difference sequence

$$\alpha_k := Y_k - Y_{k-1}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We then note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z) - \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z). \tag{17}$$

We will bound the p-th moment of the sum in (17), but first we obtain a bound on the individual summands α_k.

For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, define the set

$$J(k) := \{1 \leq j \leq n : k \leq j \leq k + \beta_n\}.$$

Now let $A_{n,J(k)}$ be obtained from the matrix A_n by removing row j if and only if $j \in J(k)$. Let

$$R_{n,J(k)}(z) = \left(\frac{1}{n}A_{n,J(k)}A_{n,J(k)}^{\mathrm{T}} - zI_n\right)^{-1}.$$

A simple computation (see for instance [12, Example 5.1.5]) reveals that $\mathbb{E}_{\leq k}\mathrm{Tr}R_{n,J(k)}(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\leq k-1}\mathrm{Tr}R_{n,J(k)}(z)$ by condition (vii) of Definition 1. Thus

$$\alpha_k = \mathbb{E}_{\leq k}\frac{1}{n}\left(\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z) - \mathrm{Tr}R_{n,J(k)}(z)\right) - \mathbb{E}_{\leq k-1}\frac{1}{n}\left(\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z) - \mathrm{Tr}R_{n,J(k)}(z)\right).$$

Using the triangle inequality and equation (3.11) in [7], we have that

$$\left|\mathrm{Tr}R_n(z) - \mathrm{Tr}R_{n,J(k)}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\beta_n + 1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|}$$

and hence

$$|\alpha_k| \leq \frac{2(\beta_n + 1)}{n|\operatorname{Im} z|}.$$

We now apply the Burkholder inequality (see for example [8, Lemma 2.12] for a complex-valued version of the Burkholder inequality) and obtain that there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\alpha_k\right|^p &\leq C_p\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}|\alpha_k|^2\right)^{p/2} \\
&\leq C_p\left(\frac{4(\beta_n+1)^2 n}{n^2|\operatorname{Im} z|^2}\right)^{p/2} \\
&\leq \frac{C_p 2^p(\beta_n+1)^p}{n^{p/2}|\operatorname{Im} z|^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to A. Litvak for pointing out Theorem 3.13 in [2]. The author would also like to thank A. Soshnikov and D. Renfrew for useful conversations and comments.

References

[1] Adamczak, R., *On the Marchenko-Pastur and circular laws for some classes of random matrices with dependent entries*, Electronic Journal of Probability, Vol. 16 (2011).

[2] Adamczak, R.; Litvak, A.; Pajor, A.; Tomczak-Jaegermann, N., *Quantitative estimates of the convergence of the empirical covariance matrix in log-concave ensembles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), 535-561.

[3] Anderson G.W., Guionnet A., and Zeitouni O. *An Introduction to Random Matrices*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 118, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.

[4] Aubrun, G., *Random points in the unit ball of l_p^n*, Positivity, 10(4):755-759, 2006.
[5] Bai, Z. D. *Methodologies in spectral analysis of large-dimensional random matrices, a review.* Statist. Sinica 9, 611–677 (1999).
[6] Bai, Z. D., Hu, J., Pan, G., Zhou, W., *A Note on Rate of Convergence in Probability to Semicircular Law* , Electronic Journal of Probability, Vol. 16(2011).
[7] Z. D. Bai, Convergence Rate of Expected Spectral Distributions of Large Random Matrices. Part I. Wigner Matrices, Ann. Probab. Volume 21, Number 2 (1993), 625–648.
[8] Z. D. Bai, J. Silverstein, Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices, Mathematics Monograph Series **2**, Science Press, Beijing 2006.
[9] Bordenave, B., Caputo, P., Chafa, D., *Circular law theorem for random Markov matrices*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, DOI 10.1007/s00440-010-0336-1 (2011).
[10] Chafai, D., *The Dirichlet Markov Ensemble*, J. Multivariate Anal. 101 (2010), no. 3, 555-567.
[11] Chatterjee, S., Diaconis P., Sly A., *Properties of Uniform Doubly Stochastic Matrices*, `arXiv:1010.6136v1 [math.PR]`
[12] Durrett R., *Probability. Theory and Examples*, 4th ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.
[13] Götze, F.; Tikhomirov, A.; *Limit theorems for spectra of positive random matrices under dependence*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Petersburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI), Vol. 311 (2004), Veroyatn. i Stat.7, 92-123, 299.
[14] Götze, F.; Tikhomirov, A.; *On the Rate of Convergence to the Marchenko–Pastur Distribution*, arXiv:1110.1284v1 [math.PR].
[15] Götze, F.; Tikhomirov, A.; *Limit Theorems for spectra of random matrices with martingale structure*, Stein's Method and Applications, Singapore Univ. Press (2005), pp. 181-195.
[16] R. Horn, Ch. Johnson, Topics in Matrix analysis, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
[17] V. Marchenko, L. Pastur, *Distribution of eigenvalues of some sets of random matrices*, Math USSR-Sb. **1**, (1967), 457–486.
[18] M.L. Mehta, Random Matrices, 3rd ed., Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2004.
[19] Mendelson, Pajor, *On singular values of matrices with independent rows*, Bernoulli 12(5), 2006, 761-773.
[20] Pajor, A.,, Pastur, L., *On the Limiting Empirical Measure of the sum of rank one matrices with log-concave distribution*, arXiv:0710.1346v1 [math.PR].
[21] Wachter, K. W., *The strong limits of random matrix spectra for sample matrices of independent elements*, Ann. Probab. 6, 1–18 (1978).
[22] Y. Q. Yin, P. R. Krishnaiah, *Limit Theorem for the Eigenvalues of the Sample Covariance Matrix when the Underlying Distribution is Isotropic* , Theory Probab. Appl. 30, pp. 861-867.

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers, Piscataway, NJ 08854
E-mail address: `sdo21@math.rutgers.edu`